

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,634	07/28/2003	Cheng-Chuan Chen		3584
0.45.5.0	590 02/25/2005 uan Chen	\	EXAM	INER
PO BOX	697		SWIATEK, ROBERT P	
Feng-Yu	an City		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Takhung	an City Hsien (TW) 420, R	00	3643 Re	SL
1ai wan	(1w) 420, 1)	DATE MAILED: 02/25/200!	
			5-11-	03
		/\\IID) \X /\\III		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		10 h		
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
CA		10/627,634	CHEN, CHENG-CHUAN		
7	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert P. Swiatek	3643		
eriod fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHO THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issues of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply six specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three menths after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. 6 133).		
itatus	a patent term suprament. 300 31 01 11 11 10 10 10.				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ulv 2003.	·		
,	•	s action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under &				
Disnosifi	ion of Claims				
•	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat	tion			
	4a) Of the above daim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a))□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	æ Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		veo in unis Mauonai Stage		
	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ved		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a us	or or are ceraised cobies tior recei	TGU.		
	·				
A b	neta)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Office Action Summary

the \$ to be a more for the control of the control o

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040622

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: On page 1, line 13, "this" should be changed to -which- for clarity, in line 15, "referred to" should be changed to -seen in-; on page 3, line 3 should be changed in its entirety to -outwards to prevent the bicycle from bumping into obstacles. -, in line 7, ", it mainly comprising" should be changed to -is mainly comprised of-, in line 12, "road" should be changed to -rod-, in line 18, "press" should be deleted and -can be pressed- inserted after "ball"; on page 4, line 17, "it mainly comprising" should be changed to -and mainly comprises-, in line 24, "having" should be changed to -has-, in line 25, "having" should be -have-; on page 5, line 1, "screws 14 is used to insert" should be -screws 14 is inserted-; on page 6, lines 8, 9, each occurrence of "is" should be deleted, in line 8, -and- should be inserted after "bicycle," in lines 14, 15, "is rest" should be changed to -resting-, in line 21, "having" should be -has-, in line 22, "to connect" should be -connected-; on page 7, line 4 should be deleted. Because of the sheer number of such inexact terms, applicant should note that the above is only a partial listing and that additional sections require revision.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because is should not exceed 150 words and avoid use of the term "said." Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

logum kundagun di kagaga, kala akena uni mata atau dan akena mataka kena masah di dan di dan menganaka dan kena

The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "13" does not appear. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 5, it is unclear what is meant by "a hook of a string," in lines 14, 15, "said downwardly pointing spring" lacks a prior antecedent basis.

Claims 1, 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 5, "if" should be changed to -is-, in line 7, "having" should be changed to -has-, in line 13, "in" and "shape" should be deleted, in line 16, "press" should be deleted and the phrase -can be pressed- inserted after "ball," in line 17, -and- should be inserted before

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

Application/Control Number: 10/627,634

Art Unit: 3643

the third occurrence of "said," in line 18, "downward, it" should be changed to -

downwardly, said device-, in line 19, -and- should be inserted before "it"; in claim 2,

line 2, -into- should be inserted before "said," in line 4, -together- should be inserted

after "clip." Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1, 2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The patents to Johnson (US 4,575,189), Kovach (US 5,632,233), Rutter (US

6,408,793 B1), and Kahmann (US 2002/0121763 A1) have been cited to provide

examples of prior art vehicle accessories.

RPS: Ø703/308-2700

22 June 2004

Robert P. Swiater

ROBERT P. SWIATEK PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 333 3643

Page 4